

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,016	08/21/2006	Tetsuya Matsuda	IRD-0018	6725	
23353 RADER FISH	7590 01/04/201 MAN & GRAUER PLI	EXAMINER			
LION BUILD	ING	RIGGS II, LARRY D			
1233 20TH ST WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 50 ON DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
······································	71, 50 2000		1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/04/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/590,016	MATSUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LARRY D. RIGGS II	1631	

	Dillin D. Hiddo II	1001	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the script in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared to the compared	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further corrections. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	acting or simplifying to	10 133003 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: .

/Marjorie Moran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed after Final amendment contains proposed amendments to claims comprising the new limitations of wherein the input data transfer unit and the output data transfer unit that are the part of the simulation controller are achieved by software or dedicated circuit, the simulation scenario information being separated from the input data transfer unit and the output data transfer unit and wherein the simulation controller reads the simulation scenario information from the simulation storage unit, the input data transfer unit and the output data transfer unit and the output data transfer unit and inconsideration and is not entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections and/or objections set forth in the Final Office action, mailed 9/13/2010 are maintained for reasons of record. Applicants arguments filed 12/2/2010 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. In regard to the current rejections of record, applicants argue that the current rejections are traversed based on the current amendments that have not been entered. As such, applicant's arguments are not persuasive with respect to the latest claims of record, filed 9/21/209.